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In this week’s double portion of Tazria-Metzora we read of the purification ritual for the metzora, the unfortunate person struck with tzara’at—a preternatural skin disease that resulted in a status of ritual uncleanness and temporary banishment from Israel’s encampment. The surprising intimacy of the purification ritual underscores the importance of restoring dignity and community to those living with stigmatic disease.

In the biblical imagination, the metzora occupied a space similar to that of the leper in the popular imagination—one who is isolated and cast-out for a shameful affliction. Although indisputably mistaken, many English editions of the Torah preserve a centuries-old chain of mistranslations that renders tzara’at as “leprosy” and metzora as “leper.” Indeed, some translations of the Torah deliberately preserve this error to imbue our understanding of tzara’at with the dread and fear inevitably conjured by leprosy’s mention. This malevolent portrait of tzara’at is further reinforced by the Rabbis’ reading of the malady as Divine punishment for acts of slander and malicious gossip.

Against this backdrop, the ritual for the metzora’s purification is jarring in the physical intimacy it demands between the priest and the afflicted. After initial rites that permit the metzora to reenter the encampment, the metzora offers sacrifices. From these, the priest “take[s] some of the blood of the guilt offering, and . . . put[s] it on the ridge of the right ear of him who is being cleansed, and on the thumb of his right hand, and on the big toe of his right foot.” The priest then pours sacrificial oil into his own left palm. Some of this oil he sprinkles “before the Lord.” Some he smears on the metzora over the sacrificial blood. The remnant, he pours onto the metzora’s head.

The intimacy of this ritual emerges clearly when one considers that the priest—who must avoid any contact with ritual uncleanness—himself smears the metzora’s extremities and anoints him with oil. In so doing, he advertises that the metzora retains no impurity, but is instead suitable for an intimate encounter with the holy man. Once the metzora is purified—these rituals seem to say—there is no clinging “taint.” The rite is thus carefully calibrated to remove not just the metzora’s ritual uncleanness, but also to restore his dignity, to eliminate any residual stigma or shame.

This message is further underscored by the ritual’s scriptural context. Similar smearing and anointing appear elsewhere. Aaron’s and his sons’ priestly consecration is marked by Moses smearing sacrificial blood onto their extremities. Saul is transformed from citizen to King when Samuel anoints his head with oil. Just as these rituals confer Divine authority to priests and kings, so too do they confer re-acceptance of the metzora into the Divine community. Indeed, he is not begrudgingly allowed back in, but is honored through the same choreography that dignifies Israel’s priests and kings.

1 For example, see Midrash Tanchuma Metzora 2.
3 For example, see Plaut, p. 829.
4 Leviticus 14:10-20.
6 1 Samuel 10:1.
The restoration of dignity and community at this ritual’s heart has palpable ramifications for our response to the global HIV/AIDS crisis. While there certainly has been much progress on this front, the isolation of people living with HIV/AIDS remains a trenchant obstacle decades into the pandemic. Individuals are still abandoned by family and community, left to suffer alone. The ill resist testing because of the stigma that diagnosis brings. And as the pandemic spreads, it imports its shame and isolation anew.7

This heart-breaking situation is probably best expressed in the words of Anyo, a Burmese woman living with HIV. She recounts:

> My life has been on a downward spiral ever since [I was diagnosed]. Even my closest friends wouldn’t speak to me. I decided to return to my village…to be with my family, but never told them about my condition. Where I live, people…would never accept me and I was afraid of the stigma I would face. In [Burma], learning you are HIV-positive is like receiving a death sentence….8

Like Anyo, those living with HIV/AIDS too-often become our modern-day metzoraim, stigmatized by sickness, shamed by affliction and banished from community.

Just as the priest restored the metzora’s dignity through his anointing touch, we have a duty to restore dignity and community to people living with HIV/AIDS—to provide them with more than medicine and clinical treatment. Critical in this effort are those grassroots projects throughout the world—such as those supported by AJWS—that fight discrimination and educate communities to reduce isolation and stigma.

At the core of the metzora’s purification ritual is the silent injunction that we are to encounter each other not only as healer and patient, priest and metzora, but as human beings. Such encounters have the potential to embrace the metzora back into Israel’s encampment, and the individual living with HIV/AIDS into her community—each with dignity, each with humanity, each created b’tzelem elo-him, in the Divine image of God.
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The writers of the Dvar Tzedek are the recipients of the Lisa Goldberg Memorial Fellowship. As President of the Charles S. Revson Foundation and as a mother, wife, colleague and friend, Lisa Goldberg had a profound commitment to social justice and the Jewish community. She died tragically at the age of 54. Lisa was a good friend and generous supporter of AJWS, and we hope that, through these words of tzedek, we can contribute to her legacy.

AJWS is committed to a pluralistic view of Judaism and honors the broadest spectrum of interpretation of our texts and traditions. The statements made and views expressed in this commentary are solely the responsibility of the author.