



DVAR TZEDEK

Parashat Ki Tisa 5773

By Rachel Farbiarz

March 2, 2013

This week's Dvar Tzedek was originally published in 2009.

Parashat Ki Tisa introduces the taboo against quantifying persons, enjoining the Israelites from giving themselves numbers. The sum of the people, it seems, is a dangerous thing—inviting evil, tempting fate, summoning the evil eye. Thus, God here commands that when Israel is to be counted the people are to use coins as proxy for their persons, so as to ensure “that no plague may come upon them...”¹

Despite the danger, the Torah seems perversely taken with memorializing tallies of persons, painstakingly recording each tribe's sum when the census is ultimately taken: Reuben numbers 46,500, Simeon 59,300, Judah 74,600 and so forth.² Rather than overcome the numbering taboo, however, the tallies seem instead to underscore it. These plump, round numbers are, inescapably, estimates—concessions to the necessity of the count, obfuscations to ward off the evil and anxiety that it invites.

In ritualized utterances today, cannily crafted to deflect the evil eye, one can still hear the raspy echo of the counting taboo. Yeshivah teachers will tally their charges with the totemic chant: “*Not one, not two, not three....*” And many *minyanim* ensure a quorum not by counting heads, but by assigning to each person a word in a ten-worded biblical verse.

Neither are we as global citizens rid of the primal anxiety that counting persons inspires. We seem, instead, to have transmuted it—burying our agitation in an anesthetic refuge. Thus, it is too often the largest humanitarian crises, with their tallies of millions displaced, hungry, sick or dead, that we have the most trouble wrapping our hearts around. It is as if our very beings revolt against the endless collection of so many suffering persons, and our sympathies—attenuated already by the myriad demands upon them—shut down, paralyzed by the immensity of the problem. It is not the evil eye that we fear in these massive numbers, but our own failure to respond to the vast suffering that they imply. Deftly, though, we have learned to hold our anxiety at bay with a brittle shield of apathetic ignorance. Those too-large numbers, pneumatic with their many zeros, become shockingly easy to ignore.

This tendency is borne out in the world's anemic response to the massive devastation weathered by the people of Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). Over the past decade of civil war, an estimated 5.4 million Congolese have died, mostly from preventable causes such as malaria, diarrhea, pneumonia and malnutrition. Forty-five thousand Congolese still die each month. The grim cruelty of these numbers is horrifying— “equivalent to the entire population

¹ Exodus 30:12.

² Numbers 1:20-44. The tribe of Levi is not counted.

of Denmark or the state of Colorado perishing within a decade.”³ Indeed, as George Rupp, president of the International Rescue Committee (IRC) explains: “The conflict and its aftermath, in terms of fatalities, surpass any other since World War II.”⁴

Yet the massive humanitarian crisis in DRC has failed to elicit the outrage and concern that other crises with significantly smaller death tolls, but more accessible narratives—such as Bosnia, the Asian tsunami or Darfur—have appropriately inspired. In 2005, the devastation in DRC topped the list of the world’s largest “forgotten emergencies,” according to a poll of international experts conducted by the Thomas Reuters Foundation.⁵ At the end of 2008, Dr. Rick Brennan still felt compelled to explain his work spearheading the IRC’s extensive DRC mortality survey, with a heartbreaking statement: “We want people to give a damn.”⁶

How then do we make ourselves give a damn? How do we wake from our anxious stupor to care about this massive, meaningless number—this 5.4 million dead in DRC?

The *parashah’s* first words—*Ki tisa et rosh B’nei Yisrael*—provide an opening. Conventionally translated as, “when you take a census of the Israelite people,” the verse literally means “when you raise up the heads of the Israelite people.” In the act of aggregation, the individual is not simply subsumed into the final tally. He is instead “raised up.” His singular humanity is underscored even as the counting grinds on, creeping toward the census’s ultimate goal of sums and totals.

“Raising up the heads” of the individuals surviving—and dying—in DRC is precisely what the numbness of numbers calls for. We must counter the anxiety of counting by insisting that the vast numbers are composed of *one* and *one* and *one* and *one*. We must discipline ourselves to think beyond the sums and engage the human specificity of DRC’s devastation. Numbers, then, will not present a refuge for the world’s apathy: they will represent, instead, a rebuke.



Rachel Farbiarz is a graduate of Harvard College and Yale Law School, as well as of an Orthodox *yeshiva* high school. Rachel worked as a clerk for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco, after which she practiced law focusing on the civil rights and humane treatment of prisoners. In this role, she helped to improve the basic living conditions on California’s death row at San Quentin. Rachel currently lives with her husband in Washington, D.C., where she works on her own writing and art. Rachel can be reached at rachel.ajws@gmail.com.

³ International Rescue Committee, “IRC Study Shows Congo’s Neglected Crisis Leaves 5.4 Million Dead” 22 Jan. 2008. <http://www.theirc.org/news/irc-study-shows-congos0122.html>.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ruth Gidley, “Congo War Tops AlertNet poll of ‘Forgotten’ Crises,” AlertNet, Thomas Reuters Foundation 10 Mar. 2005. <http://www.alertnet.org/thefacts/reliefresources/111038817665.htm>

⁶ International Rescue Committee, “Video - Researching Deaths to Save Lives: IRC’s Dr. Rick Brennan on the Congo Crisis.” <http://www.rescue.org/video/researching-deaths-save-lives-ircs-dr-rick-brennan-congo-crisis>

©2013 American Jewish World Service

To subscribe to this publication, please visit www.ajws.org/dvartzedek.

AJWS is committed to a pluralistic view of Judaism and honors the broadest spectrum of interpretation of our texts and traditions. The statements made and views expressed in this commentary are solely the responsibility of the author.

45 West 36th Street, New York, NY 10018 • t 212.792.2900 • f 212.792.2930 • e ajws@ajws.org • www.ajws.org